

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,181	07/21/2004	Jan Hans Benedictus	NL 020088	. 5523
24737	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROP	HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/502,181	BENEDICTUS, JAN HANS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James S. Hogan	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction o	Irawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya section is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/04.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/502,181

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US. Patent No. 6,128,839 to Deborg et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,973 to de Mor.

Regarding claim 1, Deborg et al ('839) teaches a household appliance (1) comprising a fluid dispensing system for dispensing a fluid and a dosing system for dosing an additive (in 15) into the fluid, the fluid dispensing system having fluid supply means (7), a dispensing nozzle (11), and a conduit (13) connecting the supply means to the dispensing nozzle, the dosing system comprising an additive reservoir (15) for containing the additive and an outlet (not numbered) connecting the additive reservoir to the dispensing system; and the fluid supply means having pumping means (18) for pumping the fluid and the additive towards the dispensing nozzle. Deborg et al ('839) does not teach the outlet (not numbered) of the additive reservoir communicating with the conduit in a position downstream of the pump (18). de Mor ('973) teaches a system (see Figure 1) of adding additives to a household device where a boiler provides a pressurized steam (i.e. pumping means (3)), and the additive reservoir (14)

Art Unit: 3752

(3) (Col. 3, lines 20-29). As for claim 2, Deborg et al. ('839) teaches pumping means having a first pumping means (12) for pumping fluid from the supply means towards the dispensing nozzle and second pumping means (14) for pumping additive (12) from the additive reservoir (15) to the conduit (13). In light of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified the dosing system of Deborg et al. ('839) with the downstream placement of addictives as taught by de Mor ('973) in order to provide a dosing system for a household appliance at a reduced manufacturing cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are as follows:

- U.S. Patent No. 5,398,434 to Biancalani, disclosing a steam iron
- U.S. Patent No. 6,314,668 to Daulasim et al., disclosing a household appliance
- U.S. Patent No. 5,526,595 to Daulasim et al., disclosing a steam iron
- U.S. Patent No. 6,671,985 to Bouleau, disclosing a pump for water and additives

Application/Control Number: 10/502,181 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSH 11/07/2005

David A. Scherbei
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700